



**MINUTES OF MEETING
Wednesday, July 9, 2003**

Approved at meeting of 8-6-03

Board of Supervisors Meeting Room
575 Administration Drive, Room 102A
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
2:00 P.M.

COMMISSIONERS

Present H. E. Boyett, Chair
Absent Paul Kelley
Absent Tim Smith
Present Debora Fudge
Present Lisa Schaffner
Present Raymond Brunton
Present William Massey

ALTERNATE COMMISSIONERS

Present Pamela Torliatt, Alternate
Absent Jean A. Kapolchok, Alternate
Absent Dawn Mittleman, Alternate
Present Mike Kerns, Alternate

STAFF

Present Steven Sharpe, Executive Officer
Present Carole Cooper, Assistant Executive Officer
Present David Hurst, Legal Counsel
Absent Sally McGough, Legal Counsel
Present Thorjia Brierley, Clerk

1. **CALL TO ORDER BY THE CHAIR:** Chairman Boyett called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m.
2. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF MEETING:** Approved as distributed.
3. **GENERAL ANNOUNCEMENTS:** Steve Sharpe announced that he had recently attended a South and West Business Association meeting and that they had expressed interest in annexing the Roseland Area to the City of Santa Rosa.
4. **GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT:** Dwayne Dewitt, P.O. Box 3068, Santa Rosa, spoke in favor of annexing the Roseland Area.

Consent Calendar:

5.1 File 03-03: Southwest Santa Rosa Reorganization No. 2-02 (Merriss) Involving Annexation to City of Santa Rosa and Detachment from Roseland Fire Protection District and County Service Area No. 41 (Multi-Svcs.)

Applicant: Richard Merriss

Env. Document: Categorical Exemption

Proposal: Reorganization request for three parcels totaling 1.65 +/- acres, involving annexation to the City of Santa Rosa and detachment from Roseland Fire Protection District and County Service Area No. 41 (Multi-Services)

Location: Generally located on the south side of West Hearn Avenue just west of Stony Point Road in Southwest Santa Rosa

Prezoning: City: RR-20 (Rural Residential); County: Low Density Residential

Action: Approved as recommended by staff.

Fudge: Aye Schaffner: Aye Massey: Aye Brunton: Aye Kerns: Aye Kelley: Absent Boyett: Aye
Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 Absent/Abstain: 1

5.2 File 03-04: Southeast Santa Rosa Reorganization No. 1-02 (Tzaig) Involving Annexation to City of Santa Rosa and Detachment from Bennett Valley Fire Protection District and County Service Area #41 (Multi-Svcs.)

Applicant: Moshe Tzaig

Env. Document: Mitigated Negative Declaration

Proposal: Reorganization request for 13 parcels totaling 12.13 +/- acres, involving annexation to the City of Santa Rosa and detachment from Bennett Valley Fire Protection District and County Service Area No. 41 (Multi-Services)

Location: Generally located on both sides of Ronne Drive, north of Neotomas Avenue, south of Cypress Way, and east of Matanzas Road in Southeast Santa Rosa

Prezoning: City: RR-20; County: Low Density Residential

Action: Approved as recommended by staff.

Fudge: Aye Schaffner: Aye Massey: Aye Brunton: Aye Kerns: Aye Kelley: Absent Boyett: Aye
Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 Absent/Abstain: 1

6. REGULAR CALENDAR PUBLIC HEARINGS

6.1 File 03-05: South Park County Sanitation District Annexation No. 03-01 (Global Materials Recovery Systems) and Sphere of Influence Amendment No. 3

Applicant: Global Materials Recovery System

Env. Document: Mitigated Negative Declaration

Proposal: Request for Sphere of Influence Amendment and Annexation of 5.93 acres +/- to South Park County Sanitation District

Location: 3899 and 3911 Santa Rosa Avenue, just south of Todd Road and east of U.S. 101, in Santa Rosa

Prezoning: City: Commercial; County: Commercial

Staff Presentation/Discussion: Carole Cooper reviewed the staff report and recommended that the Commission approve the proposal, make the findings described in the staff report, and certify the Mitigated Negative Declaration. Chairman Boyett opened the public hearing.

Ernie Carpenter, 3903 Deuce Court, Santa Rosa, spoke in favor of the annexation and the sphere of influence amendment.

Chairman Boyett closed the public hearing.

Action: Approved as recommended by staff.

Fudge: Aye Schaffner: Aye Massey: Aye Brunton: Aye Kerns: Aye Kelley: Absent Boyett: Aye
Ayes: 6 Noes: 0 Absent/Abstain: 1

6.2 File 96-25: Graton Community Services District/Forestville County Water District Reorganization

Applicant: The Graton Community Services Project Board of Directors, Forestville County Water District, Board Supervisors, Board of Directors, Sonoma County Water Agency

Env. Document: Negative Declaration

Proposal: This project involves a governmental reorganization consisting of:

- a). The formation of the Graton Community Services District;
Dissolution of the Sonoma County Water Agency: Graton Sanitation Zone; Designation of the Graton Community Services District as the Successor in Interest to the Graton Sanitation Zone of the Sonoma County Water Agency; Establishment of the Sphere of Influence for the Graton Community Services District Coterminous with the Sphere of Influence of the Graton Sanitation Zone Sphere of Influence, and
- b). Approval for the Forestville County Water District to exercise its latent sewer service power; Dissolution of the Forestville County Sanitation District and the Mirabel Heights Zone of Benefit; Designation of the Forestville County Water District as the Successor in Interest to the Forestville County Sanitation District and the Mirabel Heights Zone of Benefit County Service Area No. 41 (Multi-Services)

Location: Generally, the unincorporated areas of Graton and Forestville inclusive of the Sonoma County Water Agency: Graton Sanitation Zone; Forestville County Water District; and Forestville County Sanitation District

Staff Presentation/Discussion: Steve Sharpe reviewed the staff report, requested that the Commission conduct a public hearing, and take a straw vote on the proposal. He recommended that the Commission continue the final action to the meeting of August 6, 2003, schedule a protest hearing for September 10, 2003, and approve the Negative Declaration. He explained that the formation of the Community Services District will require a protest hearing and an election and that Forestville County Water District will have to formally accept the proposal. All requirements must be met by July 1, 2004.

Discussion followed. Commissioner Torliatt asked about capacity. Mike Thompson, from the Sonoma County Water Agency, explained that disposal capacity could be a limiting factor in this proposal because winter storage is a problem. He explained that summertime disposal capacity is sufficient at this time.

Commissioner Kerns asked for clarification regarding the timing of the project. Steve Sharpe responded that improvements proposed are scheduled to be completed by the first of the year, and a mail ballot election in the Graton area would take place in December 2003 for an anticipated effective date of July 1, 2004, for district formation.

LAFCO Agenda

Commissioner Kerns asked if the cost of the formation was covered by the County district formation funds. Steve Sharpe responded that the district formation funds and Water Agency funds were used for the feasibility studies.

Commissioner Kerns asked what level of assurance exists that Forestville Water District would agree to take over the wastewater treatment facilities. Commissioner Massey assured all that the intent is to maintain local control in Forestville if it can be done without jeopardizing the Forestville Water District.

Chairman Boyett opened the public hearing.

Marta Williams, P.O. Box 110, Graton, spoke in favor of the proposal and acknowledged Steve Sharpe and all of those who helped put this proposal together. She pointed out that the *Graton Citizens for Local Sewer Control* had been reformed to become *The Graton Community Services Project (GCSP)*, a non-profit corporation (documented in file).

She stated that while the staff report refers to onsite septic failures only on district property, GCSP is hoping that an enterprise zone to remediate offsite septic failure will be created as well.

Judy Christensen, P.O. Box 603, Graton, spoke in favor of the proposal.

Bob Rosin, P.O. Box 157 Sebastopol, spoke about wastewater capacity and disposal and assured the Commission that capacity exists for this proposal.

Chairman Boyett closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Massey made a motion to approve the proposal as recommended by staff, which includes the acceptance of the reorganization, with an effective formation date of January 1, 2004, approval of the Negative Declaration, and scheduling of protest hearing for September 10, 2003. Commissioner Kerns seconded the motion.

A straw vote was taken as follows:

Commissioner Fudge:	Aye
Commissioner Brunton:	Aye
Commissioner Massey:	Aye
Commissioner Schaffner:	Aye
Commissioner Kerns:	Aye
Commissioner Kelley:	Absent
Commissioner Boyett:	Aye

Final action continued to August 6, 2003.

Action: _____

Fudge: ____ Schaffner: ____ Massey: ____ Brunton: ____ Smith: ____ Kelley: ____ Boyett: ____

Ayes: ____ Noes: ____ Absent/Abstain: ____

7. INFORMATION/DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

7.1 Policy Committee Report: There was no report as the meeting had been cancelled due to a lack of quorum.

7.2 Introduction of Municipal Services Review Consultant: Steve Sharpe introduced Walter Kieser, Managing Principal of Economic & Planning Systems, Inc. Mr. Kieser stated that he will report to the Commission at the October LAFCO meeting and hoped that EPS would meet or beat the 2006 deadline.

8. CONFIRM MEETING WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 6, 2003: Confirmed.

9. ADJOURNMENT : The meeting was adjourned at 2:55 p.m.