



EPIDEMIOLOGY OF HIV/AIDS IN SONOMA COUNTY

ANNUAL REPORT - JUNE, 2010



Mary Maddux-González, MD, MPH, Public Health Officer
Mark Netherda, MD, Deputy Public Health Officer
Barbara Graves, Director, Prevention and Planning Division
Shari Brenner, MPH, Section Manager
Karen Gordon, PHN, AIDS Surveillance

**PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION
SONOMA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES**

Prepared by
Lucinda Gardner, MSPH, Epidemiologist

Copies of this report are available online at <http://www.sonoma-county.org/health/ph/hiv/data.htm>



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As of December 31, 2009, there are at least 1234 persons living with HIV or AIDS who were diagnosed in Sonoma County (915 AIDS; 319 HIV, non-AIDS). Due to the limitations in data collection, this number is not a true reflection of the local burden of HIV and AIDS, and only represents persons first diagnosed with HIV or AIDS in Sonoma County. It is estimated that at the end of 2008, there were actually between 1,931 and 2,202 persons living with HIV disease in Sonoma County. Similar to the state and national epidemics, the demographics of persons newly affected by HIV and AIDS continue to change.

Highlights of this report include:

- The majority of persons currently living with HIV or AIDS report male gender and White race.
- Compared to all AIDS cases ever reported in Sonoma County, those currently living with HIV or AIDS include a higher proportion of persons reporting heterosexual exposure, particularly among females.
- Latinos account for approximately 20% of persons newly diagnosed with HIV or AIDS.
- Among Latinos newly diagnosed with HIV or AIDS, the proportion of Mexican-born cases was 97% between 2006 and 2008.
- While the rate of new diagnoses of HIV or AIDS infection has declined among males, this rate has remained stable for women.
- In California, Sonoma County has the seventh highest prevalence of persons living with AIDS of all 58 counties in 2008



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sociodemographic Characteristics of Sonoma County	3
The Scope of the HIV Epidemic in Sonoma County	5
AIDS in Sonoma County	5
People Living with HIV or AIDS	7
People Recently Diagnosed with HIV or AIDS	8
Groups of Special Concern	9
Indicators of Risk for HIV Infection	12
Technical Notes	13
References	14

SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF SONOMA COUNTY

Population

Sonoma County is a medium sized California County located sixty miles north of San Francisco. It is a mixed land use county, with approximately sixty-five percent of the acreage dedicated to open space and agriculture.¹ In 2009 the estimated total population was 491,415 residents.²

Race/Ethnicity

Persons in Sonoma County are predominately White (66.8%) followed by Hispanic (23.6%). The distribution of race and ethnicity varies by gender (Table 1). There is a slightly larger proportion of Hispanic and African American males and a slightly smaller proportion of White and Asian/Pacific Islander males compared to females (Table 1).

Foreign-born Persons

In 2008, approximately 17% of Sonoma County residents reported a non-US birth country.³ The majority of these persons were born in

Mexico (63%).³ Among all Sonoma County persons reporting Hispanic ethnicity, 47% were foreign-born.³ Asian countries contributed the second largest proportion of non-US born residents (15%), most from South-East Asia, followed by Europe (11%).³

Age

Overall, the population in Sonoma County is older than the population in California (mean age 38 vs. 35).¹ The age distribution is not similar by race and ethnicity (Figure 1). Non-Hispanic Whites, Asian/Pacific Islanders, African Americans, and American Indian/Alaska Natives all have similar population distributions. Most notably, Hispanics, the second largest population in Sonoma County, have a much younger age distribution when compared to these groups. Persons reporting one or more races (Multiracial, 2.3% of overall population) also have a much younger age distribution when compared to other non-Hispanics.

Figure 1 Population Distribution by Race and Ethnicity Sonoma County, 2009










Table 1	Race/Ethnicity by Gender Sonoma County, 2009		
	Males % N=243,149	Females % N=248,266	Total % N=491,415
Hispanic	24.8	22.4	23.6
Not Hispanic, of 1 race			
White	65.7	67.9	66.8
African American	1.8	1.4	1.6
Amer. Indian/Alaska Nat.	1.0	1.0	1.0
Asian/Pacific Islander	4.5	5.0	4.7
Not Hispanic, of >1 race	2.2	2.3	2.3

Figure 2 Percent of Persons in Poverty by Census Tract Sonoma County, 2008



Income and Poverty

The 2008 median household income for Sonoma County residents was \$63,768, not significantly higher than the median California household income of \$61,021.³ Median home prices in Sonoma County were much higher when compared to California in 2010 (\$349,000 vs. \$255,000, respectively).⁴

In 2008, 11 percent of Sonoma County residents were living in poverty.³ The distribution of persons in poverty varies by geographic region (Figure 2). Areas with the highest percentage of persons living in poverty are southern Santa Rosa, areas around Guerneville, and rural areas in the north, west, and southern most regions of the county.

Education


In 2008, the proportion of Sonoma County residents over 25 with a high school diploma or higher was 87% (Table 2).³ This is much higher than California, where 80% of adults >25 have a high school diploma or higher.³

Educational attainment is related to poverty status. Over thirty percent of persons in poverty have not completed high school, compared to six percent of persons with a bachelor's degree or graduate degree (Figure 3).

Insurance

An estimated 14% of Sonoma County adults (age 19-64) are without health insurance. This estimate varies significantly by ethnicity. Sonoma County Hispanics are over four times more likely to be uninsured compared to non-Hispanics (37% vs. 8%, respectively).⁵

Figure 3 Percent of Persons in Poverty by Educational Level Sonoma County, 2008



The ethnic disparity seen in Sonoma is similar statewide, though not as great. In California, Hispanics are two and a half times more likely to be uninsured compared to non-Hispanics (32% vs. 12%, respectively).⁵

Table 2 Educational Level for Adults >25 Years of Age Sonoma County and California, 2008

	Sonoma County %	California %
Less than high school graduate	13	20
High school graduate (or equivalency)	20	21
Some college or associate's degree	35	30
Bachelor's degree	20	19
Graduate or professional degree	11	11

THE SCOPE OF HIV/AIDS EPIDEMIC IN SONOMA COUNTY

INTRODUCTION

The following section summarizes data from HIV and AIDS Confidential Case Report Forms submitted to the County of Sonoma Department of Health Services by health care providers. This information allows examination of disease trends and helps determine how and to whom services should be targeted. The data presented in this report include only persons who were Sonoma County residents at the time of diagnosis with HIV or AIDS. The number of newly reported AIDS cases per year is becoming less reflective of the true burden of the HIV/AIDS epidemic in Sonoma County for the following reasons:

- No system currently exists to track migration of individuals following an HIV or AIDS diagnosis. Migration may result in a different number of persons with HIV or AIDS residing and requiring services in Sonoma County than are represented in this report.
- Some HIV and AIDS deaths may have occurred but have not yet been reported to the County health department (e.g., if a person with AIDS dies outside Sonoma County or California).

California instituted a non-name, unique identifier HIV reporting system on July 1, 2002 and changed to name-based reporting in April 2006. The HIV cases reported here include only name-based cases reascertained or reported following the implementation of the 2006 law. Combining both HIV and AIDS data in this report provides a more representative sample of persons affected by the epidemic.

- Because many who are living with HIV have not yet been tested and therefore do not know their status the figures in this report underrepresent the true scope of HIV disease in Sonoma County.

AIDS IN SONOMA COUNTY

The HIV and AIDS case information in this section reflects data from the HIV/AIDS Reporting System. This database only includes HIV and AIDS cases that were diagnosed in Sonoma County.

Those people living with HIV/AIDS that currently live in Sonoma County but were diagnosed with HIV or AIDS in another county are not included in the tables and graphs in this section.

From January 1, 1981 through December 31, 2009, 2,069 Sonoma County residents have been reported with AIDS (Figure 4). Of these cases, 1,151 have died, resulting in a case fatality ratio of 56.0% over the course of the epidemic. While the number of newly diagnosed cases has declined since 1992, the total number of persons living with AIDS has steadily increased over time (Figure 5). The decline in the number of newly diagnosed AIDS cases is partially due to better management of HIV causing a delay in conversion to AIDS. Currently, there are 855 persons living with AIDS who were diagnosed in Sonoma County.

FIGURE 4 Cumulative AIDS Cases by Year Reported, Year of Diagnosis and Year of Death Sonoma County, 1981 - 2009





FIGURE 5 AIDS Cases by Year of Diagnosis, Year of Death, and Prevalence Sonoma County, 1981 through June 2008



- Men who have sex with men (MSM)
- Injecting drug use (IDU)
- Men who have sex with men and inject drugs (MSM/IDU)
- Hemophilia (HEM)
- Heterosexual contact (HET)
- Blood transfusion (XFUS)
- Risk not specified (RNS)

In the Figure 6, each AIDS patient is counted only once even though the patient may have reported multiple modes of exposure.

Race/Ethnicity

The cumulative incidence rate (CIR) by race/ethnicity estimates the rate at which a particular race or ethnic group is being diagnosed with AIDS (Table 3). The Other/Unknown classification of race/ethnicity includes Asian/Pacific Islanders (n=17), American Indian/ Alaska Natives (n=15), and Multi-Race (n=16). These groups were combined into one category because the number of cases for any one of these groups alone was too small to calculate a statistically reliable CIR.

The highest CIR was seen for African Americans, followed by Whites. However, it is important to note that the fewer cases of AIDS in African Americans (n=64) compared to Whites (n=1774) and Hispanics (n=184) may result in a less accurate representation of the CIR for African Americans. Hispanics and the Other groups had a significantly lower CIR than Whites or African Americans.

Exposure Category

A hierarchical index following the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines is used to describe how each AIDS patient acquired the virus. If a patient has more than one possible exposure category, excepting men who have sex with men and use injection drugs, the response closest to the top of the hierarchy is selected. Note that if a man with AIDS reported ever having sex with a man between 1977 and his first HIV-positive test, he was placed in this category regardless of his sexual orientation. The categories are as follows:

The majority of male AIDS cases reported MSM as the primary exposure category (80%, Figure 6). The majority of females reported HET (52%) or IDU (38%) as primary exposures. These proportions are similar to all of California, where the majority (74%) of male AIDS cases reported MSM as their primary exposure⁶.

Age at Diagnosis

When diagnosed with AIDS, women are significantly younger than men (37 vs. 41 years, p<0.01 T-test with unequal variances). Among males, the largest proportion is diagnosed with AIDS between ages 30

TABLE 3 Cumulative Incidence Rates* of AIDS by Race/ Ethnicity Sonoma County, 1981 - 2009

Race/Ethnicity	Cumulative Incidence Rate	95% Confidence Intervals
White	541.4	(516.3, 566.5)
Hispanic	158.6	(135.7, 181.4)
African American	835.5	(631.7, 1039.4)
Other/ Unknown	122.1	(87.6, 156.7)
Total	422.0	(403.9, 440.2)

*Rates per 100,000 population and not age-adjusted


and 39 (38%), followed by 40-49 (35%, Table 4). In comparison, among females, the largest proportion is diagnosed between ages 30 and 39 (37%), followed by ages 40 to 49 (22%, Table 4).

PEOPLE LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS

The number of Sonoma County residents who were older than 12 years at the time of AIDS or HIV diagnosis and who had no reported date of death as of December 31, 2009 was used to calculate the number of adults/adolescents living with HIV or AIDS. Some deaths may not have been reported (and not included in this data) especially if the person died outside the county.

The data in this section includes persons with both AIDS and HIV non-AIDS.

As of December 31, 2009, there are at least 1,234 adults and adolescents living with HIV or AIDS who were diagnosed in Sonoma County (915 AIDS; 319 HIV,



non-AIDS). Due to the limitations in data collection, this number is not a true reflection of the local burden of HIV and AIDS, and only represents persons diagnosed with HIV or AIDS in Sonoma County. Additionally, an unknown number are unaware of their HIV status and are not reflected in the data.

It is estimated that at the end of 2008, there were likely between 1,931 and 2,202 persons living with HIV disease in Sonoma County. This figure is based on a national estimate that assumes that 24-27% of persons who are HIV positive are unaware of their infection⁷.

Gender

The majority of persons living with HIV or AIDS are male (90%). Although the proportion of females is small, this proportion has increased over time.

Race/Ethnicity

The majority of persons currently living with HIV or AIDS report White race. Compared to the Sonoma County population, males overall and White and African American persons represent a larger proportion of cases of HIV and AIDS (Table 5). Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islanders represent a smaller proportion of cases when compared to the total Sonoma County population.

Age

Persons living with HIV or AIDS have an older age distribution compared to Sonoma county overall. Eighty three percent of persons

Table 4 Age at Diagnosis for All AIDS Cases Sonoma County 1981 - 2009

	Males		Females	
	N	%	N	%
<13	2	<1	6	4
13-19	2	<1	1	1
20-29	190	10	25	18
30-39	744	38	52	37
40-49	673	35	31	22
50-59	247	13	16	11
60+	72	4	9	6
Total	1928		140	

Table 5 Demographic Characteristics of Adults living with HIV or AIDS
Sonoma County – December 31, 2009

	Persons living w/HIV/AIDS		Sonoma County Population >12	
	N	%	N	%
Diagnosis				
HIV	319	26	--	--
AIDS	915	74	--	--
Gender				
Male	1,106	90	206,658	51
Female	128	10	200,211	49
Race/Ethnicity				
White	979	79	289,411	71
Latino	153	12	79,901	19
Asian/Pacific Islander	16	1	19,306	5
African American	52	4	6,404	2
American Ind/Alaska Nat	9	<1	4,246	1
Multi-Race	23	2	7,601	2
Current Age				
13-19	6	<1	48,201	12
20-29	51	4	71,790	18
30-39	158	13	54,457	13
40-49	431	35	66,152	16
50-59	418	34	73,926	18
60+	170	14	92,343	23

sonoma County at 203 cases (as a result of expansion of the case definition by the CDC), the number of newly diagnosed AIDS cases by year has dropped dramatically. Between 1998 and 2009, an average of 44 AIDS cases were diagnosed each year (range 23 in 2009; 60 in 2002).

In 2009 there were 23 new AIDS cases and 27 new HIV cases reported to Sonoma County Public Health. Of the 23 AIDS cases, 7 were conversions (prior diagnosis of HIV to AIDS) and the remaining 16 were first reported as simultaneous diagnoses of HIV and AIDS.

To evaluate trends over time, incident cases are evaluated by date of first positive HIV test, regardless of current AIDS status.

with HIV/AIDS are aged 40 or older. Forty-eight percent are aged 50 and older (Table 5).

Mode of Exposure

The majority of males living with HIV or AIDS report MSM with or without intravenous IDU as their primary exposure, whereas females report HET or IDU as the primary exposure (Figure 7). Compared to all AIDS cases, persons currently living with HIV or AIDS include a higher proportion of HET exposures, particularly females (60%, Figure 7 vs. 52%, Figure 6).

PEOPLE RECENTLY DIAGNOSED WITH HIV/AIDS

Cases over Time

Since 1992, when the AIDS epidemic peaked in So-

FIGURE 7 Percent of Adults Living with HIV Disease by Exposure Category and Gender
Sonoma County 1981 - 2009





Figure 9 HIV/AIDS Cases by Mode of Exposure and Year of First Positive Test
Sonoma County 1998 – 2009



Race/Ethnicity

The rate of new diagnoses of HIV or AIDS varies by race and ethnicity (Figure 8). In 2002, the rate of new HIV or AIDS diagnosis among Latinos surpassed the rate among White, non-Latinos and has remained higher through 2009. Since 2000, however, the highest rate of new HIV/AIDS cases has been among non-White, non-Latino persons.

Prior to 2000, White, non-Latinos accounted for over eighty percent of all new diagnoses of HIV or AIDS. Since 2000, this has decreased to an average of seventy percent of new cases, with the Latinos accounting for approximately twenty percent of new diagnoses and other non-Latino non-Whites accounting for the remaining ten percent.

Mode of Exposure

MSM as a primary risk factor accounts for a large proportion of persons recently diagnosed with HIV or AIDS. This proportion was declining until 2001-03, but appears to have increased in 2007-09. (Figure 9).

The proportion of persons reporting HET exposure spiked in 2001-03 (Figure 9) and the proportion of persons reporting RNS has in-

creased steadily throughout the time period.


GROUPS OF SPECIAL CONCERN

Late Entry to Care

Persons are considered to have late entry to care if their AIDS diagnosis occurred at the same time or within three months of their first HIV diagnosis. It is possible that these persons were aware of their previous diagnosis of HIV, but were not reported to the County until their AIDS diagnosis. Further study is needed to evaluate whether these persons are truly late entry.

Thirty-four percent of persons diagnosed with HIV disease since January of 2003 appear to have late entry to care. These cases are primarily White (55%) and Latino (31%), and the majority is male (82%). Latinos and women are approxi-

Figure 8 Rate of HIV Diagnosis by Race/Ethnicity and Year of First Positive Test
Sonoma County 1997 – 2009




mately one and one half times more likely to have late entry to care, although these associations are not statistically significant (Latino RR 2.1, 95%CI [1.3, 3.2], Women RR 1.7, 95%CI [1.0, 2.1]). The reported risk factors for men are MSM (59%), IDU or MSM/IDU (16%), and risk not specified (19%). For women, reported risk factors are HET exposure (63%), RNS (21%), and IDU (16%).

Latinos and Mexican-born Persons

The Latino population in Sonoma County is increasing, currently representing 23% of the total 2009 population, compared to 14% in 1995.^{2, 8} Half of Sonoma County Latinos are foreign born, the majority of these were born in Mexico.⁹ As a group, Latinos face significant challenges to receiving medical care; approximately 20% are uninsured and 30% are living in poverty (0-99% FPL).⁹

Latinos continue to be disproportionately affected by the HIV/AIDS epidemic. While 14% of the US population is Latino, Latinos accounted for approximately 19% of newly diagnosed AIDS cases nationwide in 2006.¹⁰ A sub-group of concern is recent immigrants from Mexico. These individuals are a particularly vulnerable population, and may be at increased risk for HIV infection while facing multi-

FIGURE 10 Percent of Adults* Living with HIV Disease by Exposure Category and Ethnicity
Sonoma County 1981 through June 2008



factorial barriers to social services and health care.¹¹

Since 1981, 229 Latinos have been diagnosed with AIDS or HIV in Sonoma County. While the total number of new cases per year has declined overall, the number of new Latino cases has remained relatively constant, averaging about 10 cases per year since 2001. This represents approximately 20% of all new diagnoses of HIV and AIDS.

The most commonly reported mode of exposure for persons with HIV or AIDS is MSM, followed by MSM/IDU, IDU only, and HET exposure. For Latinos, MSM remains the most common exposure; however, the proportion of MSM is lower than that in non-Latinos (64% vs. 74%, respectively, Figure 10). Other risk factors, notably HET and RNS, account for a larger proportion of Latino cases than that of non-Latino cases (Figure 10).

Foreign-born Persons

Persons born in other countries comprise a significant proportion of persons newly diagnosed with HIV or AIDS. Since 1997, the proportion of new HIV or AIDS diagnoses reporting a foreign birth country has increased over time (Figure 11). This is even more pronounced among Latinos where over 60% of new diagnoses report a non-US birth country (Mexico 89%, Central or South America 11%). This proportion has also increased over time from 47% in 1999-01 as high as 94% in 2006-08.

Foreign-born Hispanic males are equally likely to report MSM and MSM/IDU exposure compared to Latinos born in the US (72% vs. 69%, respectively). Foreign-born Hispanic women are more likely to report heterosexual sex as a primary risk factor compared to Latinos born

in the US (75% vs. 61% respectively).

Women

Since 1981, 181 women have been diagnosed with HIV or AIDS in Sonoma County (140 AIDS, 41 HIV). Of these, 134 are currently living with HIV disease. The proportion of women among persons newly diagnosed with HIV or AIDS averages about 13% of new cases per year since 2002 (range, 9% 2003, 23%, 2004). The primary reported risk factors for women living with HIV or AIDS are HET (60%), followed by IDU (29%).


Factors affecting HIV rates among women include increased risk of transmission during vaginal intercourse and lack of awareness of their male partners' past or current risk behavior.¹² While the overall numbers of women diagnosed with AIDS continues to decline, women of color account for approximately 36% of these cases.

Compared to all women with AIDS, women recently diagnosed with HIV or AIDS more frequently report HET exposure (60% vs. 52%). A growing segment of newly diagnosed cases are heterosexual women over 45 years of age.

Pediatric Cases

The majority of the pediatric AIDS cases reported in Sonoma County from 1981-2005 were children of mothers with

Figure 11 Percent of Foreign-born Cases among New Diagnoses of HIV/AIDS Sonoma County 1997 – 2009



or at risk for HIV infection. No new pediatric AIDS cases have been reported in Sonoma County since 1994.


All of the pediatric HIV cases reported in Sonoma County from 1981-2005 were in the exposure category of a mother with or at risk for HIV infection (N=4). There have been no new pediatric HIV cases reported in Sonoma County since 2000.

Mortality

The mortality rate for AIDS has decreased significantly over time (Figure 12). Although all groups have less than four percent mortality, Whites and non-Hispanics have had a slightly higher rate in recent years.

The primary reason for the decrease in mortality is successful disease management using highly active anti-retroviral therapy.¹³

Figure 12 Crude Mortality Rate by Race and Ethnicity Sonoma County 1997 – 2007



INDICATORS OF RISK FOR HIV INFECTION

Increase in STD Rates

Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) continue to be a problem in Sonoma County. Current rates of nearly all STDs are increasing in Sonoma County. In addition to complications from the diseases themselves, STDs can increase the risk of HIV transmission.

The rate of Chlamydia has been steadily increasing from a rate of 81.7 new cases per 100,000 population in 1999 to 201 new cases per 100,000 population in 2009. In 2007, women 20-24 years old had the highest incidence rate of Chlamydia. People of color, particularly Latinos and African Americans, are disproportionately affected by Chlamydia.

The rate of Gonorrhea has declined from 30 cases per 100,000 persons in 2005 to 19 cases per 100,000 persons in 2009. Women 20-24 and men 24-29 years old have the highest incidence rates of Gonorrhea.

In Sonoma County, the increase in primary and secondary syphilis cases has primarily been in males. This trend is similar to California, where outbreaks of syphilis have occurred among MSM.¹⁴ All cases reported since 2003 were in males.

Methamphetamine Use

The use of methamphetamines contributes to risky sexual behavior that facilitates the transmission of sexually transmitted diseases, including HIV. A recent study of non-IDU MSM in San Francisco found that nearly one quarter of those recently infected reported amphetamine use in the past twelve months.¹⁵ Overall, researchers estimated that the annual incidence of HIV infection among MSM who use amphetamines was three times higher than nonusers.¹⁵

In addition to the affect methamphetamines have on behavior, there are numerous negative health consequences. For persons already infected with HIV, methamphetamine use may decrease the effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy causing the individual's viral load to increase, which in turn causes both a worsening of disease and increased likelihood of transmission.¹⁶

In California's publicly monitored drug-treatment programs, the percent of individual clients reporting a primary methamphetamine problem increased from 26.2 in FY 2001-02 to 34.0 in FY 2004-05.¹⁷

Among Californians who enter public treatment, methamphetamine recently surpassed heroin and alcohol as the most commonly reported primary drug of choice.¹⁸

In Sonoma County, methamphetamine as a primary drug of choice is even more common, and overall treatment admissions for methamphetamine use have increased by 85% between 2000 and 2004.¹⁸ This increase is partially due to a California law, passed in 2001, that allows persons convicted of drug possession to enter treatment programs in lieu of incarceration.

In FY 2003-04, methamphetamine was listed as the primary drug of choice for 41% of persons entering publicly monitored treatment, an increase of 2% from FY 2001-02.¹⁸ For persons between 18 and 30, this proportion is even greater, with 43% of men and 64% of women reporting methamphetamine as their primary drug of choice.¹⁹

TECHNICAL NOTES

AIDS is defined by the standards developed by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Revised in 1993, an HIV positive person is considered to have AIDS by the presence of one of several opportunistic infections commonly associated with advanced HIV disease, a CD4 T-lymphocyte count of 200 or less per uL, or a total CD4 percentage of total lymphocytes of less than 14.

Year Reported is the year an HIV or AIDS case is reported to the Sonoma County HIV/AIDS Reporting System.

Year of Diagnosis is the year an individual was diagnosed with HIV or AIDS.

Cumulative Cases is the total number of HIV or AIDS cases reported as of June 30, 2007.

Cumulative Number of Persons Living with AIDS and HIV is the total number of individuals with AIDS and HIV who were diagnosed and reported in Sonoma County and alive as of June 30, 2007.

Exposure Category is the classification that describes how a person was infected with HIV. A hierarchical index following CDC guidelines is used to describe how each person tested for HIV or diagnosed as an HIV

Adult/adolescent exposure category

- Men who have sex with men (MSM)
- Injecting drug use (IDU)
- Men who have sex with men and inject drugs(MSM/IDU)
- Hemophilia/coagulation disorder (HEM)
- Heterosexual contact (HET)
 - Sex with injecting drug user*
 - Sex with bisexual male*
 - Sex with person with hemophilia*
 - Sex with transfusion recipient with HIV infection*
 - Sex with HIV-infected person, risk not specified*
- Receipt of blood transfusion, blood components, or tissue (XFUS)
- Other/risk not reported or identified (RNS)

Pediatric (<13 years old) exposure category

- Hemophilia/coagulation disorder
- Mother with/at risk for HIV infection:
 - Injecting drug use*
 - Sex with injecting drug user*
 - Sex with bisexual male*
 - Sex with person with hemophilia*
 - Sex with transfusion recipient with HIV infection*
 - Sex with HIV-infected person, risk not specified*
 - Receipt of transfusion, blood components, or tissue*
- Has HIV infection, risk not specified
- Receipt of blood transfusion, blood components, or tissue
- Other/risk not reported or identified

Each individual is counted only once in the hierarchy of exposure categories. Persons with more than one reported mode of exposure to HIV are classified in the exposure category listed first in the hierarchy, except for men with both a history of sexual contact with other men and injecting drug use. They make up a separate exposure category. Also, men who reported having sex with a man even once between 1977 and their first HIV-positive test are categorized either as "men who have sex with men" or "men who have sex with men and inject drugs."

Cumulative Incidence Rate (CIR) is a measure of the probability or risk of illness in a population over a period of time. The cumulative incidence rates were calculated using the number of newly diagnosed HIV or AIDS cases from 1981 through June 2004 in a particular group (i.e., race/ ethnic group, living in a particular city or town, age group, etc.) and population projections from the California Department of Finance or the 2000 U.S. Census (referenced in the body of the report).

Case Fatality Rate (CFR) is the proportion of people in the AIDS case registry who have died. In California, the case fatality rate can be calculated for AIDS only because California did not report on those living with HIV prior to July 1, 2002.

Confidence Intervals include a high and low value around a rate to indicate how much variability is included in that rate. In this report, 95% Confidence intervals are used to describe variability of cumulative incidence rates. When comparing rates between two groups, if the confidence intervals for the two rates overlap, then the difference between the two rates is not statistically significant at the 95% confidence level, meaning that the difference between the rates may be due to random variation.

REFERENCES

1. Sonoma County Department of Health Services. 2005 Health Profile. <http://www.sonoma-county.org/health/ph/data/hp/index.htm>; (October 13, 2008).
2. State of California, Department of Finance, *Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2020*. Sacramento, California, May 2008.
3. U.S. Census Bureau. 2008 American Community Survey, 2008 Detailed Tables; generated by Lucinda Gardner; using American FactFinder; <http://factfinder.census.gov>; (May 5, 2010).
4. California Association of Realtors, Data Quick Information Systems. <http://www.car.org>; (October 20, 2008).
5. 2005 California Health Interview Survey
6. California Department of Health Services, Office on AIDS. AIDS Reporting System Surveillance Report for California-June 30, 2008.
7. Glynn M, Rhodes P. *Estimated HIV prevalence in the United States at the end of 2003*. National HIV Prevention Conference; June 2005; Atlanta. Abstract 595.
8. State of California, Department of Finance, *Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1993-1999*. Sacramento, CA, May 2004
9. 2003 California Health Interview Survey.
10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *HIV/AIDS in the United States*. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control and Prevention; 2008.
11. Sanchez MA, Lemp GF, Magis-Rodriguez C, et al. The Epidemiology of HIV Among Recent Immigrants in California and Mexico. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2004;37:S204-S214.
12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *HIV/AIDS Among Women*. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control and Prevention; 2004.
13. Palella FJ Jr, Delaney KM, Moorman AC, et al. Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. *N Eng J Med*. 1998;338(13):853-60.
14. California Department of Health Services. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases in California 2007*. June 2008.
15. Buchacz K et al. Amphetamine use is associated with increased HIV incidence among men who have sex with men in San Francisco. *AIDS* 2005;19:1423-4.
16. Ellis RJ, Chiders ME, Cherner M, Lazzaretto D, et al. Increase human immunodeficiency virus loads in active methamphetamine users are explained by reduced effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy. *Journal of Infectious Disease*. 2003; 188(12):1820.
17. State of California, Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs, *Fact Sheet: Facts and Figures on Methamphetamine*. Sacramento, CA, November, 2006.
18. Sonoma County Department of Health Services, *Sonoma County Methamphetamine Profile, Report to the Board of Supervisors*. July 2006.
19. Sonoma County Department of Health Services, AIDS Division, *Primary Drug of Choice, State and County Comparison, 2001/2002 to 2004/2005*.